

United States Patent and Trademark Office

pu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,177	02/28/2002	Mitsuru Takai	p22032	4788	
7055	7590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			AKHAVANNIK, HADI		
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	2624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/084,177 -	TAKAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
Hadi Akhavannik	2624	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							
Before the I filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hadi Akhavannik	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	FIRST REPLY WAS F	TILED WITHIN ate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS 3 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· · · ·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		III be entered and an (explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a 🕒				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowe	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).					
13. Other:	•		<u></u>				
•		Section of the second					
	SUPERM	SOBY PATENT EXA	AMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner believes that the added limitations alter the scope of the claim and would require a new search and further consideration of the art. The presented ammendment would appear to overcome the previous interpertation of Maeda, though additional search is needed in view of the ammendments.